	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/667,127	HINSHAW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Manoskey	2113
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Manoskey</u> .	(3)	
(2) David J. Thibodeau (Reg. No. 31,671).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>9:45 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed: 2-21, 25, and 47		
2-21, 23, and 41		
Prior art documents discussed:		
·		
Part II.	NEDAL MATURE OF WHAT WA	e Discrissed.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	O DIOCOCCED.
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
- A		
Row Al Bensol A		
	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Thibodeau to discuss the dependency of claims 2-21 of the amendment filed 2/3/06. In the amendment, the apparatus claims 2-21 were dependent from the method claim 47. The Examiner told Mr. Thibodeau he believed they should be dependent from the apparatus claim 25. After checking Mr. Thibodeau confirmed this. It was decided that Mr. Thibodeau would make a draft of the changes and fax it directly to the Examiner. Also Mr. Thibodeau disclosed minor amendments to claims 9, 16, 17 and 25 to deal with antecedent basis, and the Examiner stated this was acceptable. This was used by the Examiner to make an Examiner's Amendment.